

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants respectfully traverse the requirement for restriction for the following reasons:

It is believed that any search for the species embodied in the elected group would necessarily include a search of the remaining oilseeds and plants. Thus, a simultaneous search for all of the Species is believed not to constitute an unreasonable search for the Patent Examiner.

In addition, it is believed that the objectives of streamlined examination and compact prosecution would be promoted if a search were conducted simultaneously for all of the Species. Also, the necessity of filing multiple patent applications in this case does not serve to promote the public interest because of the extra expense that is involved, in filing fees and examination costs, as well as the burden upon the public due to the necessity of searching through a multiplicity of patent files in order to find the complete range of subject matter claimed in several different patents that could otherwise be found in one issued patent only.

RECEIVED


MAY 05 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Applicant reserves the right to file divisional applications for the non-elected species.

For all these reasons, it is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. §121 be withdrawn, and that an action on the merits of all the claims be rendered.

Respectfully submitted,
WOLFRAM JUNGHANNS




Allison C. Collard, Reg. No. 22,532
Frederick J. Dorchak, Reg. No. 29,298
William C. Collard, Reg. No. 38,411
Attorneys for Applicants

COLLARD & ROE, P.C.
1077 Northern Boulevard
Roslyn, New York 11576
(516) 365-9802

Enclosure: Petition for Revival, Declaration in support of
Petition, and fee

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 28, 2006.


Kelly Espitia

RECEIVED

MAY 05 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS